

Evaluation Report on DLG-funded Other Programme (Gifted Education)

Programme One

| | |
|----------------------|---|
| Title: | Debating Team |
| Objectives: | To hone students' critical thinking skills and argumentative skills. |
| Target: | Senior form gifted students |
| Deliverables: | English Debating team: 4 workshops were held. Debaters had participated in the 33 rd SingTao Debating Competition and the Hong Kong Secondary School Debating Competition. |
| Selection mechanism: | In September 2017, Debate Club advisers and former Debate Club chairman interviewed both existing members and new members in senior forms to assess their potential and competency, as well as their participation rate in the activities held the previous year. |
| Duration and venue: | October 2017 – May 2018 Each workshop lasted around 2 hours, from about 9.30pm to 11.30pm. The workshops were held in the school. |
| Evaluation: | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Debaters found the experience in the debating tournaments exciting and rewarding and they displayed much eagerness in conducting research regarding issues related to the motions. 2. Debaters believed that the workshops had helped them polish their thinking skills and deepened their understanding of issues that they would have otherwise not come across as the curriculum of English in school could not cover everything. 3. Debaters collaborated closely with each other and a supportive atmosphere was discerned. |
| Expenditure: | \$2900 (6 students; S4-S6) |

Programme Two

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| Title: | Visual Art Korea Study Tour 2018 |
| Objectives: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✧ Know and identify different media of Visual Art through visiting Seoul; ✧ Feel and understand the best Art of Seoul; |
| Deliverables: | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Student should collect the information of the Art in Seoul; 2. Every student writes learning Journal during the tour; 3. Complete an art work after the activity. |
| Target: | 17 Secondary Four and Five Visual Art Students |
| Selection mechanism: | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Best 5 in Visual Art in the 1st term Examination. 2. Prize winner in the external Art competition. |

| | |
|---------------------|---|
| | 3. Draw a picture about the expectation of the tour. |
| Duration and venue: | 4 days in Seoul |
| Evaluation: | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Attendance of student was high. 2. The study tour was well commended by students from the reflection of the students. 3. The students showed enthusiasm during the tour through teachers' observation. |
| Expenditure: | \$4,800 |

Programme Three

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| Title: | Tuition Course for Physics Olympiad |
| Objectives: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✧ To prepare students for physics Olympiad (HK) ✧ To provide learning opportunity to students who are talented and/or having interest to learn advanced physics |
| Deliverables: | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Progress displayed in school examination 2. Physics Olympiad Competition results |
| Target: | FIVE to TEN S5 students |
| Selection mechanism: | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Outstanding performance in physics in school examinations 2. Good conduct |
| Duration and venue: | 8 sessions, 2-3 hrs per session |
| Evaluation: | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The tuition course was not conducted. 2. Qualified / eligible tutor was invited (<i>an ex-student of my friend</i>), but he/she declined to offer. |
| Expenditure: | \$ - (DLG) |

Programme Four

| | |
|---------------|---|
| Title: | English Singapore Study Tour 2018 |
| Objectives: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✧ develop students' capacity to use English to respond to authentic experiences; ✧ encounter different cultures through traditional museums and landmarks or other experiences; ✧ understand and compare some social issues in Singapore and Hong Kong; ✧ promote mutual understanding of the two Asian cities among students. |
| Deliverables: | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Built a Facebook page showing the study result. 2. Every student writes a reflection passage after activity. 3. Organize an assembly for Secondary Four Three students to introduce the culture of Singapore and study result. |
| Target: | 30 Secondary Four Students |

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| Selection mechanism: | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Winners in English project presentation about Singapore. 2. Most improved in English result in the 1st term Examination. 3. Best result in English Language in the 1st term Examination. 4. Good performance in the interview about Singapore which conducted in English. |
| Duration and venue: | 5 days in Singapore |
| Evaluation: | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The attendance of student was high. 2. The study tour was well commended by students from the reflection of the students. 3. The assembly was successfully held according to the response of the S2 and S3 student audiences. 4. The students were confident in speaking English after the trip. |
| Expenditure: | \$30,000 |

Programme Five

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| Title: | Taiwan Study Tour 2018 |
| Objectives: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✧ Know the city development and the environmental protection policies in Taiwan; ✧ Compare the city development and planning between Taiwan and Hong Kong. Then provide suggestions for sustainable development of Hong Kong. |
| Deliverables: | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Every student wrote a reflection passage after the activity; 2. A video for all students was made and shown in the Campus TV ; 3. Every group wrote a suggestions plan for sustainable development of Hong Kong. |
| Target: | 17 Secondary Five Geography Students |
| Selection mechanism: | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Good attitude in studying Geography. 2. Good result in the 1st term Geography Examination. 3. Good performance in the preparation activities before the trip. |
| Duration and venue: | 5 days in Taiwan (Taichung and Taipei) |
| Evaluation: | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The attendance of student was high. 2. The study tour was well commended by students from the reflection of the students. 3. The students showed enthusiasm during the tour through teachers' observation. 4. The quality of the deliverables is good. |
| Expenditure: | \$25,000 |